UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
BRIGHTHOUSE LIFE INSURANCE COMP.	ANY,
Plaintiff,	Case No. 1:23-cv-08570-JLR
v. SPIN CAPITAL, LLC;	MOTION FOR INTERPLEADER DEPOSIT (28 U.S.C. § 1335)
GOLDEN FOOTHILL INSURANCE SERVICES, LLC; LIFE FACTOR II, LLC; LIFE SHARES II, LLC; EL DORADO HILLS INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, INC.; LONE WOLF INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.;	
KTL HOLDINGS, INC.; DRVN HOLDINGS, LLC; LIFE SHARES 1019, LLC; STEFAN LEER; TATANISHA LEER:	Plaintiff's motion for interpleader deposit of proceeds is <b>DENIED</b> without prejudice to renewal once all Defendants are served and have had the opportunity to appear in this action.  Dated: October 5, 2023
	New York, New York SO ORDERED.

Defendants. -----X

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1335, Plaintiff, Brighthouse Life Insurance Company ("Brighthouse"), hereby moves this Court for an Order directing the Clerk of Court to accept interpleader funds in the amount of \$9,000,000.00, plus applicable interest (the "Interpleader Funds"). As set forth more fully in the allegations in its Complaint in Interpleader (ECF No. 1), which are incorporated herein by reference, this is a duly commenced interpleader action to resolve competing claims to the Interpleader Funds, representing proceeds that remain due and are at issue under life insurance policy number 7447253. Brighthouse stands ready, willing, and

able to immediately deposit the Interpleader Funds in the Registry so that the Court may exercise

the full extent of its jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1335.

Jurisdiction is established for statutory interpleader where (1) the amount in controversy

is at least \$500; (2) two or more adverse claimants are of diverse citizenship; and (3) the funds at

issue have been deposited in the Court's registry. 28 U.S.C. § 1335(a). The Defendants in this

matter are citizens of New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Nevada, California, Tennessee and

Texas and have asserted competing claims to the Interpleader Funds, which exceed the minimum

requirement of \$500 under 28 U.S.C. § 1335(a). (See ECF No. 1, ¶¶ 19, 63.) Brighthouse is a

disinterested stakeholder and does not claim any right or interest in the Interpleader Funds,

except to recover its attorneys' fees and costs of this action.

Moreover, none of the Defendants will be prejudiced by allowing Brighthouse to

immediately deposit the Interpleader Funds into the Registry. All Defendants, through counsel,

will have been given notice of this Motion, along with the Complaint and other filings. And,

allowing such a deposit is not granting Brighthouse the ultimate interpleader relief it seeks,

which shall await the appearances of the Defendants in the case.

Brighthouse further requests that the Clerk of Court be directed to deposit the

Interpleader Funds into the Disputed Ownership Fund (DOF) in the Court Registry Investment

System (CRIS) pending further Order of the Court.

Dated: October 4, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michelle J. d'Arcambal

Michelle J. d'Arcambal, Esq.

d'Arcambal Ousley & Cuyler Burk, LLP

40 Fulton Street, Suite 1501

New York, NY 10038

Tel: (212) 971-3175

Email: mdarcambal@darcambal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Brighthouse Life Insurance Company

2